

Design of Cantilever Retaining Wall

Kajal Raut¹, Achal Meshram², Arati Ikhar³, Kirti Kawase⁴, Sujesh D. Ghodmare⁵

^{1,2,3,4}Student, Department of Civil Engineering, G. H. Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, India ⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, G. H. Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, India

Abstract: The paper deals with the design and cost economics of a 4m high and 272m long earth fill retaining wall. The aim was to design cantilever retaining wall section and its costing for the horizontal backfill. For that actual parameters from Three Jewels, Pune site were considered. The retaining wall designed for section 1-1 with retaining height 3.35m (as per the geotechnical strata report). As part of consulting engineering firm, the purpose of retaining wall for the Three Jewels site was to maintain two different earth levels where the ground level changes abruptly due to sloppy area. This is constructed in between phase-II and Phase –III in future development.

Keywords: Retaining wall, Active earth pressure, Passive earth pressure, Lateral pressure.

1. Introduction

Retaining walls are usually built to hold the soil back to the retail soil unable to stand vertically by them. However, walls can also be constructed for aesthetic landscaping purposes. They are also provided to maintain the field at two different levels. Retaining walls shall be designed to withstand lateral earth and water pressure, effect of overload load, self-weight of wall. The following are the different types of retaining walls, depending on the size and the pressure resisting mode:

a) Gravity wall-masonry or plain concrete.

- b) Cantilever retaining wall.
- c) Counter fort retaining wall.
- d) Buttress retaining wall.

The analysis and design of retaining walls includes the following subsequent:

- 1. Estimation of the primary dimensions of the wall, then these dimensions should be examined.
- 2. Exterior stability of walls (to check slippery, stability and bearing stability of retaining walls).
- 3. Main and secondary reinforcements for reinforced concrete retaining walls should be calculated.

2. Purpose of work

This paper shows the analysis and design of cantilever retaining wall. The design consists of two major stages: the first is an evaluation of the stability of the entire structure under service load, including overturning, sliding, and bearing failure modes, and the second is the design of individual components, such as under combined fact load, Stem, heel and toe for bending and shearing.

3. Design Requirement

The following information is required for retaining wall design:

- 1. Retained height of retaining wall It is different for different section due to abrupt change in ground level.
- 2. From geotechnical report:
 - Allowable soil pressure 40 ton/sq. Meter
 - Coefficient of friction 0.5 as per the strata
 - Axial load on stem, surcharge load and wind load if applicable- horizontal backfill without surcharge load.
 - Soil density for filling $1.8t/m^3$ and for CWR $2.1t/m^3$
 - Angle of repose -for filling30^o and for CWR42^o

4. Design and calculation of retaining wall

A. Design problem statement

Design of cantilever retaining wall for retaining height 3.35 (as per the section 1-1 in geotechnical strata report).

- Given data: (as per the strata report)
- 1) SBC (Soil Bearing Capacity): 40 ton/sq. Meter

2) Section 1-1

| Depth of layer | description | Density (t/m^3) | Angle of repose |
|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 0.00m-0.48m | Filling | 1.8 | 30 |
| 0.48m-3.35m | CWR | 2.1 | 42 |

- 3) Height above the ground level in section 1-1 / retaining height of retaining wall: 3.35m
- 4) Dry moist backfill with no surcharge
- 5) Coefficient of friction: 0.5
- 6) Materials:

Concrete -M25fck=25mpaSteel HYSD bar-Fe 500fy= 500mpa





International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management Volume-3, Issue-1, January-2020 www.ijresm.com | ISSN (Online): 2581-5792

Step 1: Calculation of coefficient of active earth pressure. (Rankine's lateral earth pressure theory) $Ka = \frac{1-sin\emptyset}{1+sin\emptyset} = 0.198$ Step 2: Dimension of the retaining wall(provided)

- 1. Minimum depth of foundation: DF=1.05m.
- 2. Total depth of retaining wall 4.4m
- 3. Base width of slab = 0.6H to 0.8H = 3250 mm
- 4. To eprojection = $\frac{B}{3} to \frac{B}{4}$ = 800 mm
- 5. Thickness of base slab = $\frac{H}{14}$ to $\frac{H}{12}$ = 450mm
- 6. Top width of stem =200 mm



Step 3: Design of stem

1. To find base width of stem Ka1=0.33Y1=18KN/M³; Ka2=0.198Y2=21KN/M³ As per IS 1893(PART 3):2014 Clause no. 22.1.1 Pa1=0.68 KN; Pa2=9.89 KN; Pa3=25.03KN; Pa= Pa1+Pa2+Pa3= 35.60KN



We used Fe 500 and M25: d = 148.03mm; Assume eff. Cover =50mm

Total width of base stem=200mm (provided); Height of stem =4.4-1.05=3.95m

Ast=
$$\frac{0.5fck}{fy} [1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4.6 Mu}{fckbd^2}}]$$
*bd =1366.21mm²

Spacing $=\frac{\frac{1}{4}*dia^2}{Ast}*b=147.167$ mm< 3*d*; Provide 16mm dia @150mm c/c

Ast actual =1340.41 mm^2 ; Distribution Steel: 0.12% of Ag= 240 mm^2 ; Spacing 209.3mm(dia = 8mm) < 5d

Hence, provide 8 mm dia @200mm c/c in horizontal direction at both back and front faces of stem. Gradually

increase the spacing to 250mm and 350mm c/c towards the top of stem. For Fe500 M25; provide Development length LD= Dia*49=785mm.

Step 4: Stability computation

Total moment =Mo+Mr=72.87+303.55=375.44KN-m $Z = \frac{\sum M}{\sum W} = 1.656m$ Eccentricity=E= $(z - \frac{B}{2}) = 0.031 < \frac{B}{6} = 0.54$

$$Pmax = \frac{\Delta w}{B} [1 + \frac{\delta e}{B}] = 70.27 \text{ KN/m}^{2}$$

$$Pmin = \frac{\Delta w}{B} [1 - \frac{\delta e}{B}] = 62.66 \text{KN/m}^{2}$$

$$Step 5: Design of heel$$

Maximum BM in the heel slab @ b section

Total moment on heel =M1-M2=58.91KN-m; Ultimate moment =Mu=58.91*1.5=88.36KN-m ;Total load W=V=W1-W2= 38.4KN Vu=1.5*38.4 =57.6 KN

Ast=
$$\frac{0.5fck}{fy} [1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4.6 M_u}{fckbd^2}}]$$
*bd=521.67mm²
Spacing = $\frac{\Pi_* dia^2}{Ast}$ * b = 385mm(dia = 16mm) < 3d

Provide minimum spacing of 300mm

Ast provided= $670.20mm^2$

Therefore, provide 16mm dia @300mm c/c at top of heel slab. As tension is on top side.

Distribution Steel: 0.12% of $Ag = \frac{0.12}{100} * 1000 * 450 = 540 mm^2$

Spacing
$$=\frac{\frac{\Pi}{4}*dia^2}{Ast}*b=209.44mm(dia=12mm)$$

Provide 12mm dia @200c/c

Step 6: Design of toe slab

Maximum BM in the toe slab is computed at section c as,

| S.No. | Description | Load | C.G | Moment |
|-------|-----------------------------------|------------|------|------------------|
| | | | @C | |
| 1 | Upward earth pressure (dcko2) | 54.70KN | 0.4m | 21.88KN-m |
| 2 | Upward earth pressure (o2ek) | 0.756KN | 0.53 | 04KN-m |
| | Total | W1=55.45KN | | M1=22.28KN- m |
| 3 | Self-weight of toe slab | 9KN | 0.4 | 3.6KN-m |
| 4 | Soil above the toe slab neglected | | | |
| | Total | W2=9KN | | M2= 3.6KN-m |

Total moment on toe slab =M1-M2=18.68KN-m

Mu=28.02KN-m; Total load v= w1-w2= 46.45KN; Vu=69.67KN

Ast=
$$\frac{0.5fck}{fy} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4.6M_u}{fckbd^2}}\right]^* bd=162.43mm^2$$

Spacing $=\frac{\frac{1}{4}*dia^2}{Ast}*b = 990mm(dia = 16mm) < 3d = 160mm$

1200mm

Provide 16 mm dia bar @300mm c/c at the bottom of toe slab Ast provided $=\frac{\frac{\Pi}{4}*16^2}{300}*1000=670.20mm^2$

Distribution Steel: 0.12% of $Ag = \frac{0.12}{100} * 1000 * 450 = 540 mm^2$



Spacing= $\frac{\frac{\Pi}{4}*dia^2}{Ast}*b = 209.44mm (dia = 12mm) < 5d$ Provide 12mm dia @200c/c

Step 7: Design for drainage of water



Pipe type: PVC; Provide Pipe diameter: 150mm; Assume weep holes spacing: 1800mm 0. Ctob:1:

| Step 8 | Stability checks |
|--------|------------------|
|--------|------------------|

| S.No. | Stability | Design | As per is |
|-------|----------------------|--------|-------------------|
| | | FOS | 456:2000 |
| 1 | Stability against | 4.72 | Should be greater |
| | overturning | | than 1.4 |
| 2 | stability against | 2.47 | Should be greater |
| | sliding | | than 1.4 |
| 3 | Allowable settlement | 12mm | as per strata |
| | at ultimate bearing | | report |
| | capacity | | |

Step 9: Reinforcement detailing:



5. Result

| Step | Description | Result obtained |
|------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Calculation of | Ka = 0.198 |
| | coefficient of active | |
| | earth | |
| 2 | Provided dimension | Minimum depth of foundation |
| | of the retaining wall | =1.05m. |
| | | Total depth of retaining wall =4.4m |
| | | Base width of slab =3250 mm |
| | | Toe projection =800 mm |
| | | Thickness of base slab =450mm |
| | | Top width of stem =200mm |
| 3 | Design of stem | Main bar:16mm dia@160mm c/c |
| | | Distribution bar: 8 mm |
| | | dia@200mmc/c |
| 4 | stability computation | Pmax=70.27 KN/m ² |
| | | $Pmin=62.66KN/m^2$ |
| 5 | Design of heel | Main bar: 16mm dia @300mm c/c |
| | | Distribution steel:12mm dia @200c/c |
| 6 | Design of toe slab | Main bar: 16 mm dia bar @300mmc/c |
| | | Distribution steel: 12mm dia @200c/c |
| 7 | Design for drainage | Provide PVC pipe of 150mm dia with |
| | of water | 1.8m spacing (staggered pattern) |
| 8 | Stability checks | Stability against overturning = 4.72 |
| | | stability against sliding $= 2.47$ |
| | | Allowable settlement at ultimate |
| | | bearing capacity = 12mm |

6. Conclusion

Retaining wall is a structure which is used to retain earth from hill slopes. According to data from the site the soil bearing capacity was $40t/m^2$ and the retaining height was 3.55 m. According to the results obtained, the structure may reduce the cost of construction as well as quantity of the backfill.

References

- Indian standard code used for designing retaining wall IS 456:2000 for [1] concrete design.
- IS 1893 Part-III used for design of bridges and retaining wall. [2]
- [3] B. C. Punmia, Ashok Kumar Jain and Arun K. Jain, R. C. C. Designs (Reinforced concrete structures).
- [4] Hugh Brooks and John P. Nielsen, "Retaining Wall Design," 10th Edition.