

Comparison of Wet and Dry pH Values in the Sediment Samples from the Sea Side Mangrove and River Side Stations at Kadalundi Region, Kozhikode District, Kerala, India

P. K. Jayasurya¹, J. P. George², D. Prema³, N. Anantharajan⁴

¹Trivendrum, India

²Principal Scientist, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin, India
³Senior Scientist, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin, India
⁴Assistant Professor, Pioneer Kumaraswamy College, Nagercoil, India

Abstract—The potential hydrogen value plays a vital role in our environment. The growth of microorganisms, survival of the benthic organisms, diatom assemblage on the sediment, heavy metal releases from the sediment are affected due to the variation of the pH values in the sediments. The absorption of the nutrients and other elements by the mangrove plants are also pH dependent. Sometimes the sediments exposure to sunlight in sea, river and mangrove ecosystems. Here an attempt is made to study the difference of pH in the wet and dry sediment samples and a comparison of mangrove sediments with the sea side and the river side is also made. This is the first study to document on the study of dry pH in sediments both in the marine as well as in the mangroves and river side samples. This study will help the global researchers to focus on further detailed studies on the dry pH in the sediments of other mangrove and marine ecosystems globally and its impact on the flora and fauna in the system.

Index Terms— Dry pH, Mangrove, River, Sea, Sediment, Wet pH

I. INTRODUCTION

Mangrove forests are unique type of vegetation with special features. Mangrove forests are occupied by shrubs and huge trees and apart from that mangrove contains ferns also. The main difference between the terrestrial forests and the mangrove forests are that these forests exist in saline water logged places. This special vegetation is seen in the tropical and the subtropical regions of the world. Mangroves occupy less than one percentage of global coastal area.

In Kerala State, all the mangroves are sandwiched between the sea and the river and the only one exemption from this is the "Mangalavanam" the protected mangrove ecosystem – which is also known as the Salim Ali Bird Sanctuary at Cochin and is without the river side. In the entire mangrove the freshwater input is through the river. During the high tide seawater enters or fully submerges the entire sediment in the mangrove.

At Kadalundi, which is the study area the mangrove trap sediments from the river side and lot of nutrients, as fertilizers and pollutants etc. are flushed through the mangrove due to the runoff from land through the river system. This forms the habitat for many microorganisms, insects, polychaete, worms, sea anemones, microalgae, diatoms, cyanobacteria, fungi etc. During dry season or low tide these mudflats are exposed to sunlight and sometimes become dry. Some of the earlier studies on the Indian mangroves are [1]-[12].

Reference [13] studied the pH of the surface sediment from the port area showed alkaline pH. Reference [14] in his study states that in places where salinity is high and tidal flooding is infrequent, the mangrove root biomass is likely to be higher. Reference [15] studied on the Sunderban mangrove and the sediment pH and the salinity range from 6.78 to 6.86. Reference [16] studied the soil pH in a soil suspension and showed alkaline pH in the different layers.

The Study Area

Kadalundi mangrove is located at 11°07'36.5" N - 750 50'02.0" E in the Kadalundi river, Kerala and covers just around 1.5 hectors. Recently the forest department planted in 0.5 hectors of land with Rhizophora apiculata seedling towards the river side on the eastern side of rail Way Bridge. During the high tide the mangrove mudflat is covered with water. Through Kadalundi river, fresh water enters the mangrove and the bar mouth is 3 km away from the mangrove patch. Three sampling stations were fixed in which the first one was towards the bar mouth (I A), the second (I B) was within the mangrove and the last (I C) was in the northern end. On either banks of the river mangrove patches are seen. The Kadalundi mangrove is very near to the dwelling area and due to human interference; much damage is made to mangrove ecosystem. Some area of the mangroves can be accessed through land and the other region by country boat.



II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Monthly sediment samples are collected using van-veen grab sampler (covering an area of 0.038m2) from the seaside, mangrove and river side in Kadalundi region for 12 months, Sediment samples were immediately packed air tightly in labelled polypropylene covers and kept in ice, transported to the laboratory and kept frozen at -20°C in deep freezer until analysis is done.

Sediment samples were air dried in shade / oven dried at 50°C. The sediment is pulverised gently in a porcelain mortar and pestle, later sieved through a 1 mm sieve to remove large particles and later analysed.

A. Wet Potential hydrogen (Wet pH)

Reading is taken directly in the field itself using the probe of a multiparameter analyzer TOA, Model No. WQC22A, DDK-TOA Corporation, Japan and kit.

B. Dry Potential hydrogen (Dry pH)

According to the method of Jackson (1985) and later reading is taken using glass electrode ECIL pH meter (Model pH 5652).

Statistical analysis – Pearson Correlation coefficient (r) was done using the statistical package SPSS version 20 software for windows. Excel is used to create table and graph.

III. RESULTS

The details of wet pH values recorded in the sediment samples in all the three stations at Kadalundi region are given in Fig. 1. At Kadalundi region, in the seaside station (I A), mangrove station (I B) and river side (I C) station the average wet pH in the sediment samples was recorded as 7.13 ± 0.43 , 7.02 ± 0.24 and 7.06 ± 0.44 respectively. At Kadalundi region, the sediment sample from the sea side station shows 7.79 (Mar 2002) as the maximum wet pH and 6.42 (June 2002) as the minimum wet pH. In the mangrove station sediment sample shows 7.41 (Feb 2002) as maximum and 6.70 (May 2002) as minimum. But in the river side station sediment shows 7.78 (Mar 2002) as the maximum and 6.35 (July 2002) as minimum. On comparison of all the values recorded in Kadalundi region represents the lowest pH from the river side (I C) wet sediment as 6.35 and maximum at the sea side station (I A) sediment sample as 7.79 during July 2002 and Mar 2002 respectively.



Fig. 1. Comparison of sediment wet pH values in the samples from the sea side (I A) mangrove (I B) and river side (I C) stations at Kadalundi region

The details of dry pH values recorded in the sediment samples in all the three stations at Kadalundi region are given in Fig. 2. At Kadalundi region, in the seaside station (I A), mangrove station (I B) and river side station (I C) the average dry pH in the sediment samples was recorded as 7.08 ± 0.40 , 6.74 ± 0.49 and 7.04 ± 0.45 respectively. At Kadalundi region, the sediment from the sea side station shows 6.49 (Nov 2002) and 7.70 (May 2002) as the minimum and maximum dry pH values. In the mangrove station sediment shows 6.09 (Dec 2002) and 7.57 (Sept 2002) as minimum and maximum values. The river side station sediment sample shows 6.50 (Dec 2002) and 7.90 (May 2002) as the minimum and maximum values. On comparison of all the values recorded at Kadalundi region reports 6.09 as minimum dry pH during Dec 2002 at mangrove station (I B) and 7.90 as maximum during May 2002 at river side station (IC).





A. Correlation Analysis

TABLE I PEARSON CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF SEDIMENT WET PH VALUES IN THE SAMPLES FROM THE SEA SIDE (A), MANGROVE (B) AND RIVERSIDE (C) AT KADALUNDI REGION

RADALONDI REGION				
	I A	I B	IC	
I A	1	.014	.664*	
I B		1	.356	
I C			1	
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).				

TABLE II PEARSON CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF SEDIMENT DRY PH VALUES IN THE SAMPLES FROM THE SEA SIDE (A), MANGROVE (B) AND RIVERSIDE (C) AT

KADALUNDI REGION					
	IA	I B	I C		
IA	1	.497	.794**		
I B		1	.425		
IC			1		
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).					

In Kadalundi region, the Pearson correlation analysis of wet pH values between the stations (Table-1) records significant correlation between the stations I A & I C (r=0.664), stations I A & I B (r=0.014) shows very weak positive correlation and stations I B & I C (r=0.356) shows a positive weak correlation. But the Pearson correlation of dry pH values between the stations (Table-2) shows significant correlation between the stations I A & I C (r=0.794), the stations I A & I B (r=0.497)



shows a moderate positive correlation and stations I B & I C (r=0.425) records a moderate positive correlation

IV. CONCLUSION

The study of dry pH on the sediment from the seaside, mangrove and the river side helps to know the impact of dryness in the seaside and the mangroves during the prolonged exposure of the sediment by the tidal variations, variation of the inflow of fresh water etc which led the variation of the flora and fauna during that period. The comparison of the wet and dry pH study will enable to study the difference in the pH on the subsequent occasions. It is also observed that the wet pH is higher in mangrove area than the river side area during June, July and September to December and lower than in the sea side area in March, May, October, November and December. It is also found that the dry pH level of the sea side is higher than the mangrove and river side in February, October and December. Similarly the dry pH of the mangrove area is found to be higher than the river side in July and January. The results of the study may also help future workers to observe the faunal and floral variations if any happens in relation with the wet and dry pH variations in the sediment habitat in the sea side, mangrove and river side.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is grateful to Prof. (Dr.) Mohan Joseph Modayil, Director, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin Dr. M. Devaraj, former Director, CMFRI, Dr, P.S.B.R.James, former Director, CMFRI for the wholehearted support and encouragements during the study. The guidance and support of Dr. E. G. Silas, former Director, CMFRI and former Vice Chancellor, Kerala Agricultural University is gratefully acknowledged. Dr. M. Rajagopalan, Principal Scientist & Head, FEMD, CMFRI, Dr. M. S. Rajagopalan (Rtd.) HOD & Principal Scientist and Dr. P. Kaladharan, Senior Scientist for the valuable suggestions and encouragement during the study is acknowledged. The immense help and support from Mrs. K. S. Leela Bhai, Technical Officer is acknowledged. Last but not the lease the first author is indebted to the World Bank and the National Agricultural Technology Project, ICAR for financing the project without which this study could not be completed. The first author also expresses the sincere thanks to all the staff members of Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute (ICAR) Cochin, Vizhinjam,

Calicut, Mandapam and Tuticorin; Vice Chancellors and staff members of Manonmanium Sundaranar University for all the encouragements and support.

REFERENCES

- Sidhu.S.S. 1963. Studies on the mangroves.Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.India. 33: pp 129-136.
- [2] Unatawale, A. G., Dwivedi, S. N. and Sinbal, Y. S., 1973. Ecology of mangrove in Mandovi and zuari estuary and the inter connecting Cumbarjuna canal of Goa. *Indian J. Mar. Sci*, 2: 47-53.
- [3] Blasco F. 1975, The mangroves of India. Institute Francais De Pondicherry Travaux de la Section Scientifiqe Technique. 14:1 pp.175.
- [4] Tomlinson, P.B., 1986 The Botany of Mangroves, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- [5] Kathiresan K., P. Moorthy and S. Ravikumar 1996 A note on the influence of salinity and pH on rooting of Rhizophora mucornata Lamrk seedlings. The Indian forester 112 (8) 763-764.
- [6] Kathiresan K. 1998 Distribution and status of mangroves in India Seshaiyana 6: 2 pp. 8-9.
- [7] Jayasurya P.K. 2005. Kerala mangroves: Its conservation and future scope on coastal protection, resource generation and ecotourism. In *Mangroves and Coastal Ecosystems*, 15th Swadeshi Science Congress, 5-7 November 2005, Swadeshi Science Movement, Kerala and Govt. Brennen College, Thalassery (Best Scientific Paper Award Winning paper in 2005).
- [8] Jayasurya P.K. 2007. Global warming the severe threat to mangrove ecosystems in future. Science India 10:8 & 9 pp 37 – 41.
- [9] Jayasurya P.K. 2009. Conservation of mangroves for environmental balancing – Unite to combat climate change. India Forward 1:10 August 2009 pp 15-17.
- [10] Jayasurya P.K. 2010. Conserve Mangroves: The reamedy to protect from Natural calamities and global warming. In international conference on Global Warming Climate change, sustainable developmentand secular spirituality, Santhigiri Research Foundation, UNESCO and Amerian Centre, 09 -11 September 2010, Santhigiri Ashram, Thiruvananthapuram.
- [11] Jayasurya P.K., George J.P and Anandarajan 2018. Comparison of heavy metal such as lead, cadmium, zinc and copper concentration in the sediment from the seaside, mangrove and river side at Chetuva region, Thrissur district, Kerala, India, Indian Hydrobiology 17 :2 156-162.
- [12] Jayasurya P K and N Anandharajan 2018 A pilot study on the awareness and enthusiasm in gaining knowledge on mangroves among the modern educated community. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research 5:8, Aug 2018 pp 687-690.
- [13] Yu S., Bing H., Jun M., Yongshan C., Xiuping X., Jingbo G and H Xiuqin 2017 Surface sediment quality relative to port activities: A contaminant – spectrum assessment. Science of the Total Environment 596-597: 342-350.
- [14] Adame M. F., Cherian S., Reef R and B Stewart-Koster 2017 Mangrove root biomass and the uncertainty of below ground carbon estimations. Forest Ecology and Management 403: 52-60.
- [15] Datta D and S Deb 2017 Forest structure and soil properties of mangrove ecosystems under different management scenarios: Experiences from the intensity humanised landscape of Indian Sunderbans. Ocean & Coastal management 140: 22-33.
- [16] Adoum A.A., Moulin P and M Brossard 2017 Pioneering assessment of carbon stock in polde soils developed in the inter-dune landscapes in a semiarid climate lake chand.C.R. Geoscience 349:22-31.